

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,221	03/23/2004	Janakraj Karamchand Mehra	IPCA	3311
22925 7590 03/06/2007 PHARMACEUTICAL PATENT ATTORNEYS, LLC 55 MADISON AVENUE 4TH FLOOR MORRISTOWN, NJ 07960-7397			EXAMINER	
			BARTS, SAMUEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/06/200		03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/807,221	MEHRA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Samuel A. Barts	1621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	<u> </u>	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-14 is/are withdrawr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar .			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are moot.
 Applicant's arguments refer to the newly amended claims which are being rejected below as not having support. The prior art of Barro et al and Palmer et al do not anticipate and/or render obvious the instant amended claims. Hence, the rejections of the previous office action will not be restated at this time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "extracting and washing the organic phase reaction product of step B at a pH of 7.0-8.0" does not have support in the specification. More specifically the indication by the claim and applicant's argument that the reaction product mixture is at a pH of 7.0-8.0 when it is being washed is not supported. There is no evidence that this claimed invention was contemplated at the time of filing this application. The specification only supports using water which is at a pH of 7.0-8.0.

The specification clearly states on page 3 and page 4 the following:

Art Unit: 1621

"In contrast to the prior art teachings, however, in our process the organic phase is washed one or more times by water, and we have found that the pH of the washing water for at least the last washing must be in the range of pH 7 to 8; this pH range is necessary to achieve high purity of the epoxide".

This statement clearly supports the proposition that the pH refers to the wash water and not to the product reaction mass.

Applicant is required to amend the claims to be consistent with the language supported by the specification¹.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

¹ Please note that applicant's amendment to the claims to overcome this new matter rejection can result in the examiner restating the 103 rejection over Baro and Palmer.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,221

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel A Barts
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1621

Page 4